

MAURICE JOHN KIRK

Claimant

and

SOUTH WALES POLICE

Defendant

1. I treat the application for permission to appeal as being received in time.
2. Mr Kirk first relies on the extreme number of incidents alleged by him. As to those claims which have been struck out because they amount to an attempt to re-litigate matters which are the subject of prior court findings, (i) each has been individually considered (ii) I adopt paragraphs 52 and 53 of my written judgment on preliminary issues. As to the claim made in negligence for failure to investigate theft of cheques, it is a claim framed purely in negligence without allegation of malice.
3. Mr Kirk seeks to rely upon the fourth action instituted by him, and/or unusual incidents of harassment alleged in it. That action is currently stayed. First, it is not under question here. Second, I do not discern in it anything which would lead to a different result by application of the legal principles which I have attempted to state in the written judgment.
4. He argues that organisational harassment has intensified against him as the civil actions have progressed. That is his theme in the proceedings but it does not alter the legal principles which I have identified, nor, in my judgment does it offer real prospect of showing that the application of those principles in the written judgment is wrong. .
5. His written grounds state, as one reason for granting permission to appeal, “MAPPAs meant bullying tactics for indefinite harassment and political asylum”. I do not follow how that can alter the legal principles which I have attempted to state or their application to those claims which the court has struck out; nor how the alleged withholding of medical records can do so.
6. It is not easy to follow everything which is written in the application for permission to appeal. I have taken considerable time to read and re-read them in the hope that I will not fail to take account of any proper argument in them. I do not respond to it paragraph by paragraph because it would not assist to do so. I cannot discern that they identify any ground of appeal which has a real prospect of success. In my judgment there is no other compelling reason why an appeal should be heard.
7. For the above reason permission to appeal is refused.

