

The trial was conducted contrary to Article 6 of both the 1948 Convention and 1998 Human Right Act

1. The trial was not conducted by the original judge, the complainant of the alleged offence.
2. The trial judge refused relevant eye witnesses to give evidence.
3. The trial judge refused an adjournment to obtain those eye witnesses.
4. The trial judge refused an adjournment to obtain defence independent legal representation.
5. The trial judge refused to order the presence of a defence lawyer or amicus curiae.
6. The trial judge refused to take into account the evidence of the defendant's witness, Multi Agency Public Protection Arrangements (MAPPA) South Wales Police officer, deliberately instructed to keep an eye on the Defendant whilst in the confines of the court. The trial judge directed the police evidence, given on oath, be discounted.
7. The trial judge led the one and only prosecution witness for her proposed 'evidence in chief', a young female clerk under his control if not his employ and then repeated the leading of this witness by playing back HHJ Cooke QC's 'out of context observations', on tape and then denied the Defendant the right to call both a competent and compellable witness, the original judge, to rebut her controversial evidence.
8. Her controversial evidence, supported by no one else, included the fact that the Defendant had used his left hand, from over his shoulder, when delivering proof of a NHS, CPS. HM Prison conspiracy to obtain on the Defendant a Section 41 of the 1983 Mental Health Act. All other accounts, heard in court on 24th June and contained in enclosed witness statement(s), clearly indicated the file of damning evidence was simply lobbed onto the clerk's desk following HHJ Cooke QC unlawful and provocative refusal to have it either 'lodged' or 'served' in a British criminal court of record.
9. Their Lordships are asked to take into account that both judges had previously refused the Defendant bail with no evidence what so ever of a history of absconding, re offending or interfering with prosecution witnesses. He was acquitted without the need of defence evidence and since refused any costs by HHJ Peter Thomas QC.
10. Their Lordships are asked to take into account that all three judges had previously accepted false medical evidence, tendered by the prosecution, some just disclosed to the Defendant in the past month, indicating the Defendant had both 'significant brain damage and a possible brain tumour' that caused the suspension of his pilot's licences and that he was a public threat to be granted his liberty whilst on remand unrepresented.
11. Their Lordships are asked to take into account this vindictive conviction is but one of many cards played by the Welsh Authorities purely to delay and to prejudice the Defendant's right of 'due process' in pursuing his civil actions against both the Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons, ref 9CF04115 and the South Wales Police, ref CF101741.
12. This document and others this month are prepared under the influence of drugs prescribed for the extra pain inflicted by a yet again delayed total hip replacement operation delayed now because the anaesthetist has refused, quite rightly, to assist. The dangers foreseen on his personal indemnity insurance, in the absence of full disclosure of medical records, has caused his reluctance in signing the Defendant's request form that he is 'fully aware' of Dr Tegwyn Williams, Professor Wood and HM Crown Prosecutor, Mr Twomlow's and many others nefarious conduct to pervert the course of justice.
13. The **British Constitution Group** meetings monotonously remind the Defendant our HM judges in British courts of law invariably refuse proper disclosure of police, lawyer or medical evidence due to the iniquitous **Memorandum of Understanding between both Chief Police Officers and the Law Society** granting each other immunity to prosecution not unlike the RCVS 1967 Royal Charter.
14. Until such time as the 'rule of law' is obeyed by those in positions of privilege citizens of the United Kingdom and its Dependant Territories can only resort to cyber-space and many acts of '**Lawful Rebellion**'.