

John Smith MP, Labour Member for the Vale of Glamorgan, South Wales, UK.
House of Commons
Westminster

3rd March 2009

Dear Mr Smith, Your Ref K/20

Barbara Wilding's False 25th February 2009 Sworn Affidavit

Abuse of Process

I am in more difficulties being now in receipt of this late but false document to withhold evidence.

1. 14th October 1993 letter notified the police of a claim for damages by lawyers requesting disclosure and retention of records for the court hearing **before a civilian jury**.
2. In March 1994 police still prevaricated but eventually employed external lawyers who, in turn, refused, in May 1994, to settlement suggestions 'out of court' or disclose previously requested custody related records under the laws of disclosure.
3. In Sept 1996 the 1st Action, BS614159, including 19 incidents from 1993 to 1995, was lodged
4. **30th October 1996 police solicitor's letter** to my solicitors emphasised the need to retain police records of the fifty odd incidents now accumulated, later to exceed well over one hundred.
5. Paragraph 10 of Barbara Wilding 25th Feb 2009 Sworn Affidavit encloses list of, "**documents that remain in existence which are relevant and discloseable in respect of the 19 incidents**".
6. Paragraph 10 of Inspector Sydney Griffiths' 19th May 2000 Sworn Affidavit, relied on and referred to by Barbara Wilding to fail to disclose, contrary to law, states there was in existence then two separate police computer systems, CIS and IRIS that logged, "**deals with all crimes in South Wales**" and "**dealing with complaints reports etc**" but nothing there not already disclosed.
7. He and she are both liars if I am to believe information from countless grateful clients from my Barry Veterinary Hospital, over a period of ten years, they working in either as police or as civilian staff in the Barry or Cardiff Police Stations.
8. Other than individual police statements, prepared long after each incident designed only to prosecute, almost the entire list of '**disclosed documents**' submitted by Barbara Wilding are those that were originally served either on the police or on HM Crown Prosecution Service by myself.
9. This is only the 1st Action, Mr Smith, there are four more in court waiting, yet to be taken seriously.

It stinks, Mr Smith, doesn't it?

In the light of their continuing conduct of deliberate failed disclosure I am obliged to apply to the County Court, under my November 2008 **Abuse of Process Application**, to call as witnesses those police involved with the hundred odd incidents and apply for a year or two extension to examine them and take witness statements. In the absence of achieving such I must return to court and make application to be able to call an estimated two hundred hostile employees of Barbara Wilding, including her, to give evidence, again on oath but without prior Claimant witness statements served on the defence.

For the protection of the tax payer's fuelled gravy train I will again be refused and the Appeal will be ignored in London just as the ones when **I was refused a civilian jury** or laboured under an **Extended Civil Restraint Order for two years just** to prevent these disclosure applications ever coming to court.

Outstanding, also, are the other South Wales Police related **Abuse of Process Applications** against the Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons. An Emergency lodged RCVS Judicial Review application back in November 2007 took eight months, because of the colour of my skin, to get to court and that has been on appeal to the Court of Appeal since July 2008!

My latest RCVS Chairman, alone, refused application, to allow me to practice veterinary surgery, back in October 2008, is also still buried by **HM Partnership** all ultimately the responsibility of my UK HM Parliamentary representative, your kind self.

Evidence is being forwarded to the Independent Police Complaints Commission, Crown Prosecution Service, Cardiff County Court and Police defence lawyers for their respective shredders.

Our letters, on the other hand, will remain on website, <http://www.kirkflyingvet.com/>, until such time as the HM Cardiff judges have the bottle to have my fully paid up cyber space withdrawn yet again, causing me, again, to go 'off shore' to inform the citizens of the United Kingdom as to just what really goes on in our courts.

Yours sincerely,

Maurice J Kirk BVSc