

John Smith MP, Labour Member for the Vale of Glamorgan, South Wales, UK.
House of Commons
Westminster

1st March 2009

Dear Mr Smith, Your Ref k/2002

ABUSE of PROCESS

Summary

The South Wales Police face 5 Civil Actions for damages. Barbara Wilding's ordered 25th Feb 2009 Sworn Affidavit, re DISCLOSURE, is riddled with deceit and weasel words deliberately avoiding the Management Judge's Order to confirm or deny there has been reasonable 'disclosure' of police created documents for the first 3 Actions. Contrary to Court Orders and my 17 years of repetitive applications for retention of evidence, there has been premeditated destruction of evidence, including tapes, custody videos and written documents in the control of Cardiff Crown Prosecution Service, South Wales Police and Welsh courts, all conspiring in the routine manoeuvre of 'damage limitation', in order to restrict a Claimant against HM having 'contemporaneous notes' and witnesses.

Barbara Wilding's sworn affidavit is nearly 8 weeks late and deliberately avoids the 3rd Action, the case when retired police inspector struck me across the face and watched while an 18 stone security guard knocked me to the ground from behind, all on court record. Police then substituted and falsified new charge sheets, as was shown to the Recorder of Cardiff Crown Court, withdrawing the original allegation of a simple 'Breach of the Peace', to substitute it for one of 'common assault' on the security guard, 2 months later, obtaining a summary conviction without summonses even being served prior to the shambling Bridgend Magistrates' hearing.

Mr Smith, I will highlight examples of criminal conduct from the lady's limited affidavit:

1st Action BS614519-MC65

Para 16 (18.20)

I am attacked by a man wielding a big stick as he tries to gain entry to my veterinary hospital. I had just been released from hospital from his previous attacks, one pushing me down the stairs in front of police. I was never asked to give evidence for any incident, one including arson and police were again called for this most recent event but, again, the affidavit denies it.

Para 18 (8.23) Denial there was an incident and court case

An aborted part heard Barry magistrates hearing for no MOT on a foreign car was stopped due to police perjury.

She writes, "**However, it has not been possible for any officer or member of police staff to identify any documents relating to the matters alleged by the claimant**".

This, despite the fact I gave her copies of part of their own 9 month surveillance 'audit trail' caused by her vast team of staff repeatedly communicating with the Guernsey and Jersey Authorities, desperate to prosecute to stop my obtaining insurance cover. Despite my identifying the prosecution exhibits used in court against me and named the police officers involved. I cannot release court tape recordings as, apparently, they are not allowed in civil proceedings. Would you like to hear them before they go on web site?

Para 19 (8.26) Denial there was an incident

Lawful Eviction of Suspected Drug Dealers from my Cardiff surgery flat.

The police break in to my surgery with sledge hammer and crow bar and re install the daughter of their South Wales Police Inspector despite my complaints of suspected drug dealing and extensive criminal damage.

She writes, "**I can also confirm that the enquiries that have been conducted on behalf of the defendant have recovered no documents in respect of this alleged incident**"

Almost, Mr Smith, the exact same weasel words used by Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons to unlawfully retain the very same class of documentation, 'under each defendant's respective control', called 'contemporaneous notes' of the alleged incident(s) relied on for any fair trial.

Barbara Wilding has been given dates and names sufficient to hand over the police record.

2nd Action CF101741

Para 23-26 (5.1) Denial there was an incident and court case

Barbara Wilding's continuing narrative states that witnesses of both CPS and police say, "**he has no recollection of the incident**" of a disruptive and noisy magistrates hearing which included a hoard of police storming the building following my arrest of Mr Sofa, HM Crown Prosecution Service prosecutor, being caught red handed perverting the course of justice.

I had been prosecuted for speeding through St Nicholas, Vale of Glamorgan, despite the police having a clear photograph of Kevin, my employee, as the driver at the time and also having been notified by me, in writing, Kevin's current address.

You must, Mr Smith, always let these day to day HM conspiracies run their course in court, sufficient for a Crown Court Appeal and audit trail for witnesses to be available, later, for subsequent civil action.

I have never managed to get the IPCC, their predecessors, HMCS, HMCPS, Information Commissioner or any outside police force to instigate a public enquiry or Judicial Review in the Royal Courts of Justice. Many of my magistrates and Cardiff Crown Court hearings have been deliberately cut short by the presiding judges for no other reason but to protect their lucrative but iniquitous **HM Partnership**.

Not one Crown Court Judge or magistrate has lifted a single finger to comment or have either police or HMCPS investigated due, I suspect, to their oath of allegiance to 'HM'.

This is the very same tactic used by the RCVS preventing a court hearing, contrary to 2004 statute, for me to practice veterinary surgery because each hearing is slowly winking out the truth and wicked conduct of the lawyers behind my 2002 trial for the complainants, the South Wales Police, to have me struck off the veterinary register for life.

In these 2 Barry Magistrates court incidents, with the subsequent arrest of the CPS prosecutor, following his perversion of justice and my written demands the file be retained for independent police investigation not be shredded, you must understand it was but a routine manoeuvre for my secretary, this then my 6th year of sustained welsh police harassment, to both record and write to the relevant departments?

Having been subjected to invincible prejudice for so long I am in some difficulties now in avoiding causing some real damage to the name of 'welsh law and order'.

As for this so called 'sworn' document of truth I have concluded that it is not what is 'in' her 'sworn affidavit' that matters a toss, it is what she has deliberately left 'out'.

What about the 40 odd police logged incident [occurrence] numbers, the police continue to ignore, all relating to these 5 Actions, needing to be identified before specific disclosure can be addressed for specific prosecution witnesses?

If you examined her enclosed May 2000 'sworn' affidavit of Inspector Griffiths, the officer 'investigating' and making 'enquiries', you may note he has omitted to address any of the serious issues raised in the above paragraphs other than to confirm records, such as my arrest of the CPS prosecutor, were all shredded within 2 years of any incident.

Politicians of all parties are regularly accused of such tactics but as with the Royal Chartered Royal College of Veterinary Surgeons with its guaranteed favouritism in a British court, as with Members of Parliament they and their agents enjoy 'absolute privilege'. Barbara Wilding definitely does not.

So, as I said to the inspector, do you intend arresting Ms Wilding for this flagrant deceit or do we have to do it ourselves?

Yours sincerely,

Maurice J Kirk BVSc

Enclosed: one copy of 25th February 2009 Sworn Affidavit by Chief of South Wales Police

Copy to <http://www.kirkflyingvet.com/>